

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**United States District Court
Central District of California**

L.A. PRINTEX INDUSTRIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROYAL PRINTEX, INC., PACIFIC
COAST KNITTING, INC.; and DOES 1–
100, inclusive,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-CV-02347-ODW(VBK)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

1 On September 16, 2015, the Court stayed this copyright infringement
2 proceeding pending resolution of another related case between the parties in the
3 California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles. (ECF No. 23.) In that case, the
4 state court held that Defendant Royal Printex Inc. possessed an “oral, non-exclusive
5 contract” to use Plaintiff L.A. Printex Industries Inc.’s “library of designs.”
6 (Judgment 2, ECF No. 31.) As Defendant pointed out, this decision would foreclose
7 Plaintiff’s ability to recover for copyright infringement. (Not. of Decision 2, ECF No.
8 31.) This Court then ordered Plaintiff to show cause as to why this case should not be
9 dismissed. (ECF No. 33.) Plaintiff responded that it was appealing the state-court
10 judgment, so the Court stayed the case pending that appeal. (ECF No. 37.) On
11 January 31, 2018, Defendant submitted a status report explaining that the appeal was
12 dismissed for lack of prosecution. (ECF No. 43.)

13 Therefore, the Court **ORDERS** Plaintiff to **SHOW CAUSE**, in writing only,
14 why this case should not be dismissed. Plaintiff shall submit a response to the Court
15 on or before **February 15, 2018**. No hearing will be held. Failure to submit a timely
16 response by that date may result in dismissal of this lawsuit *with prejudice*.

17
18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19 February 1, 2018

20
21 

22 **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**
23 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
24
25
26
27
28